



## A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF HIGH-IMPACT EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH (2021–2025): TRENDS, INSIGHTS, AND EMERGING PRACTICES

Dr. Muhammad Rafiq-uz-Zaman <sup>1</sup>, Yi-Huang Shih <sup>2</sup>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63544/ijss.v5i2.243>

### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup> Ph.D. in Education,  
Department of Education,  
The Islamia University of Bahawalpur,  
Punjab, Pakistan,  
Email: [mrzmuslah@gmail.com](mailto:mrzmuslah@gmail.com)  
ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-4853-045X>

<sup>2</sup> Associate Professor,  
Center of Teacher Education,  
Minghsin University of Science and  
Technology, Hsinchu, Taiwan  
Email: [shih78465@gmail.com](mailto:shih78465@gmail.com)

### Corresponding Author's Email:

<sup>1</sup> [mrzmuslah@gmail.com](mailto:mrzmuslah@gmail.com)

### Copyright:

Author/s

### License:



### Article History:

Received: 04.02.2026  
Accepted: 26.02.2026  
Published: 08.03.2026

### Abstract

*The literature review is a critical analysis of high-impact educational research published between 2021 and 2025, which identifies the most significant trends, evidence-based practices, and emerging innovations that influence the development of modern education. Pedagogical practices in the post-COVID period have changed to emphasize the effectiveness of student engagement, fair access, and the implementation of technology-based approaches to instruction. Personalized and adaptive learning with the help of digital transformation (artificial intelligence, interactive e-books, and immersive learning environments) has resulted in a measurable student performance and engagement improvement. Problem-Based Learning, Project-Based Learning, and formative assessment remain active learning approaches that support the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills in a variety of educational settings. These advances notwithstanding, there are still issues such as gaps in teacher training, a lack of resources, and professional development. The new technologies hold the promise of innovation but pose threats to data privacy, algorithmic bias, and digital equity. These challenges cannot be met without systemic support, accountable AI implementation, and a commitment on the part of institutions to inclusive and student-centred learning. This review will summarize the existing literature and outline practical recommendations to be followed by policymakers, teachers, and scholars who want to maximize the learning process and overcome the changing digital environment.*

**Keywords:** Educational Research, Post-COVID Pedagogy, Digital Transformation, AI in Education, Personalized Learning, Active Learning, Formative Assessment, Equity, Adaptive Learning, Teacher Professional Development

### Introduction

The 2021-2025 era is characterized as a revolutionary phase in educational research, which is characterized by many changes in the pedagogical strategies, technological aspects, and the learning paradigm based on students. After the shocks of the COVID-19 pandemic, schools all over the world have reconsidered their approach to teaching and learning, reinstating the focus on the engagement of students, their equal access, and the innovative practices (Razilu et al., 2025). This is a thorough review of the high-impact research in a variety of areas of education focusing on emerging trends, evidence-based practices as well as future directions that are remaking the way we learn and enable learning.





mobile learning, the implementation of artificial intelligence, and immersive digital spaces, which can be presented to educators, policymakers, and developers as data to enhance future digital learning plans.

Interactive e-books are very effective, especially in science at the elementary level, since they solve the problem of imparting abstract concepts. Research indicates that interactive e-books are legitimate, practical, and effective in promoting the interest, engagement, and learning of students (Ricky et al., 2025). The resulting media regularly combine text, photos, video, animation, and interactive tasks in accordance with the learning style of students, and pedagogical strategies like SAVI (somatic, auditory, visual, and intellectual) make it even more effective.

**1.2 Adaptive and Personalized Learning Systems.** One-on-one learning has become a major frontier in educational technology, taking advantage of analytics and assessment practices to meet the needs of diverse students. The studies prove that the adoption of personalized learning analytics and assessment tools in graduate learning has a significant positive impact on student engagement and academic outcomes (Li et al., 2025), and the average assignment grades and project assessments are 20 percent higher on average than in other groups. Qualitative feedback indicates the importance of personalized resources and real-time feedback in motivation and closing knowledge gaps.

Differentiation-based adaptive real-time quiz systems have produced significant gains in student results. Students who were subjected to custom-developed adaptive digital quiz platforms had a high engagement and reduced response time, and better performance in comparison to control groups (Ningsih, 2025), and in quantitative terms, the experimental group was significantly better than the traditional paper-based quiz ( $p < 0.001$ ). This is a major move towards technology-based customization of education assessment.

**1.3 E-Learning Environments and Virtual Learning.** Integration of e-learning with modern technologies has increased the opportunities of student interaction and maximized student performance. The study of the blended-learning methods revealed that the more time spent on online learning, the higher the student performance increased statistically, and suggested that machine learning frameworks indicated a 98.78 percent predictability rate of academic performance (K et al., 2025). Colleges and universities are progressively embracing evidence-based strategies to improve online learning and increase the success rates of students.

## ***Section 2: Pedagogical Innovations and Instructional Strategies***

**2.1 Problem-Based and Project-Based Learning.** Problem-Based Learning (PBL) remains to exhibit significant efficiency in the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills. An inclusive model that incorporates PBL, teaching modules, and formative assessments, also known as PLTMFA, has a significant positive effect on the learning outcomes as well as critical thinking skills (Ajid et al., 2025) especially in applied subjects like magnitudes, units, and measurements. The model focuses on active learning and active, ongoing, and meaningful assessments in order to make students engaged and develop their cognition.

PBL model with the assistance of GeoGebra is continually used to improve the skills of students in solving mathematical problems (Studi et al., 2025). PBL allows developing critical thinking, whereas GeoGebra allows for the mathematical concepts tangibly, proving that the combination of digital tools with traditional pedagogical methods leads to the emergence of innovative results in mathematics education. This fact helps to justify the more general tendency towards the use of technology as a mediator of problem-based instruction.

**2.2 Student-Centred and Differentiated Approaches.** The adoption of the Independent Curriculum in elementary schools in Indonesia proves that student-centred pedagogies may improve the learning process. With the promotion of differentiated instruction that is supposed to cater to the needs of various students, there is evidence that it can enhance student engagement and learning outcomes (Kristiyuana et al., 2025). Interdisciplinary projects, such as Project-Based Learning, which are modelled on the strengthening of the Pancasila Student Profile, have led to critical thinking and group work among students.

Nevertheless, these innovations are accompanied by some serious problems. The differentiation of lessons and PBL activities is a challenging issue for many teachers because of the differences in abilities of



the students, the limited resources, and the lack of training (Kristiyuana et al., 2025). In spite of these challenges, the arguments in favour of student-centred practices are still on the rise, which only proves the significance thereof in modern education.

**2.3 Formative Assessment and Self-Assessment Practices.** The practices of formative assessment are becoming more popular in educational settings, and the emphasis is placed on the ongoing feedback of student learning instead of high-stakes examinations. Open and distance learning systems. Structured feedback in the form of instructor-generated feedback, automated feedback, and peer response feedback significantly increases learner autonomy, motivation, and academic achievement (Yunus and Bachtiar, 2025). Low-stakes, low-stress assessments are always better-liked than high-stakes summative assessments because they are less stressful and can promote continuous learning.

Self-assessment has become an effective reflective practice that helps learners to test their comprehension and improve their metacognitive processes. Contract assessment and negotiated marking as the means to implement summative self-assessment into teaching allows giving students room to pursue their own learning processes that are supported by feedback (Stepanyan et al., 2025). Findings point to perceived advantages such as decreased levels of stress and increased levels of critical evaluation of their own work by students.

### ***Section 3: Student Engagement and Motivation***

**3.1 Dimensions of Student Engagement.** Student engagement is a multidimensional construct that has been found to forecast academic success and student outcomes. The studies of student engagement in the concept of Self-Determination Theory discover four essential elements, including behavioural engagement, cognitive engagement, emotional engagement, and agentic engagement (Bizimana, 2025). The environmental factors in classrooms, such as student cohesiveness, equity, teacher support, and teacher autonomy support, largely predict these dimensions.

Empirical evidence has been made on the role of perceived student cohesiveness, equity, teacher support, and teacher autonomy support in predicting behavioural engagement, cognitive engagement, emotional engagement, and agentic engagement. The gender differences are found in the attitude toward behavioural engagement and the use of teachers as support, which implies that the engagement intervention may have to be adjusted to the demographics of students (Bizimana, 2025).

**3.2 Interactive Technologies and Engagement.** IT has been found to be useful in promoting student participation in various learning environments. Gamification as a pedagogic approach in higher education enhances engagement, learning, and motivation among students by promoting intrinsic and extrinsic motivation (Bashir & Naseer, 2025; Irvani et al., 2025). The comparative evidence shows that better results are reported in advanced countries with well-developed digital infrastructure, whereas the lack of resources limits the uptake in developing regions, thus demonstrating the ongoing global disparities in the access to educational technologies.

Mentimeter is a student response system that makes the interaction process more interactive and collaborative due to interactive and anonymous response options (Khan, 2025). Being helpful both in face-to-face and distance education, these systems can be used to promote active learning and enable formative assessment in real-time. Nevertheless, technical problems, expenses, and the necessity of training and ethical standards are some of the challenges that need to be addressed by an institution.

**3.3 Choice and Autonomy in Learning.** Choice in assessment has been found to promote students feeling of autonomy and control, capacity to balance other demands, quality of work and pleasure and interest in the work, and reduce the levels of stress (Copland et al., 2025). The design of the assessment discussed in this paper provided flexibility with various types of choices and allowed to be critically structured, which helps students to make choices with regard to their complex and diverse learning priorities, motivations, and personal circumstances.

### ***Section 4: Critical Thinking and Higher-Order Thinking Skills***

**4.1 HOTS-Based Instruction and Assessment.** Instruction based on Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) has gained greater popularity in response to the issues of low mathematical literacy in international testing. The utilization of interactive e-books with the use of HOTS leads to enhanced learning, especially in



mathematics and science, as well as the acquisition of problem-solving skills, critical thinking, and engagement among students (Andayani & Prabowo, 2025). The major success-promoting factors would be training of teachers, technological preparedness, and relevance of the curriculum.

The trends in the research on analytical thinking skills as a science education tool showed an increase in 2020-2021 due to the transition to remote learning in the world (Bayani et al., 2025). Problem-Based Learning, Project-Based Learning, and Inquiry-Based Learning appear to be efficient pedagogical strategies to develop analytical skills, and technological interventions like virtual labs and simulations can be used to improve student activity and cognitive growth.

**4.2 Robotics and Emerging Technologies for Skill Development.** The study of robotics has become a potential tool that can be used to create students who are capable of critical thinking and finding solutions to complex problems (Ojetunde & Ramnarain, 2025). Affective engagements play an important role in critical thinking, and affective and cognitive engagements anticipate the ability of students to solve problems. Nevertheless, mathematical skills do not mediate the relationship between robot-student interaction and learning results, which means that robotics experiences are useful to students with different levels of mathematical skills.

### ***Section 5: Teacher Professional Development and Support***

**5.1 Teacher Training and Capacity Building.** Effective execution of the new teaching methods heavily relies on thorough teacher training. The barriers to implementation can be overcome by enhancing the professional development of teachers, their infrastructural support, and collaborative strategies (Kristiyuana et al., 2025). Teacher capacity building and supportive policies should be used to support the integration of technology in education to bring about meaningful results.

Professional development of teachers based on the principles of Responsible AI is also gaining more significance due to the progress of educational technologies. According to a study examining domestic and international literature on the topic of Teacher Professional Development and AI Literacy trend from 2020 to 2025, it was found that around 85 percent of the literature focused on the topic was published in 2024 and 2025 (Song, 2025). The gap in the research has been identified as a critical gap in which a principles-to-practice gap exists between high-level ethical principles and their application in schools.

**5.2 Instructional Leadership and School Culture.** A student-centred type of leadership has become an important part of more recent educational reforms, specifically in its role in fostering equity, inclusivity, and student agency. Collaborative leadership encourages stakeholder involvement and participatory governance in schools, distributed leadership decentralizes decision-making and empowers educators and students (Suhaimi et al., 2025). Instructional leadership makes curriculum and pedagogy consistent with the needs of the students, and equity-based and pedagogical approaches to leadership facilitate inclusive learning.

### ***Section 6: Equity, Access, and Inclusive Education***

**6.1 Digital Equity and Resource Constraints.** In spite of technological progress, considerable gaps in access to digital learning tools of learning still exist in the world. The success of gamification is heavily reliant on institutional context, and comparative evidence shows better outcomes in developed nations where the digital infrastructure is solid, and limited resources limit its implementation in developing markets (Irvani et al., 2025). The cultural background also explains that the elements of competition flourish in a culture where recognition is appreciated, whereas collaborative gamification is more successful in collaborative cultures.

The incorporation of a curated digital learning library platform illustrates how centralized systems can increase student engagement and the quality of learning (Punnoose et al., 2025). Students who actively used such platforms normally scored higher in their assignments and exams with better results pre-implementation and post-implementation. Nevertheless, the majority of students demanded such functions as instant feedback on the work and mobile access, which means that the platform still requires improvement.

**6.2 Supporting Diverse Learners.** Interactive Self-Learning Modules (ISLMs) have been noted to be effective in improving the engagement of the students as well as improving their learning outcomes, especially in different educational set-ups. The important meta-themes are the intensification of engagement via interactive design, facilitating the result via individualized, feedback-based learning, and the use of ISLMs to motivate continuous engagement (Cena et al., 2025). The findings substantiate the positive effect of ISLMs



on the motivation of students, their autonomy, critical thinking, and their academic performance.

### ***Section 7: Hybrid and Remote Learning Models***

**7.1 Post-Pandemic Learning Approaches.** The transition to hybrid and blended learning models is an essential feature of post-pandemic education. The studies examining the relative effects of the hybrid and face-to-face learning methods show that the majority of the respondents choose the former method because of its flexibility (Bensi et al., 2025). Face-to-face learners value high-quality content, knowledge, and instructor support, whereas hybrid learners value flexibility regardless of the high technological barriers.

To achieve an improved level of engagement and learning in the open and distance learning systems, various factors should be considered. It is necessary to use a hybrid method of assessment, which is between synchronous and asynchronous: synchronous activities are more important to maintain real-time communication and critical thinking, whereas asynchronous tasks are more flexible for working adults (Yunus and Bachtiar, 2025). Although these strengths were achieved, there were some difficulties in achieving timeliness and consistency in feedback and assessment design.

**7.2 Active Learning in Diverse Settings.** Engaging learning settings are promising to enhance student achievement in a variety of settings. A study comparing the use of technology in active learning classrooms which were high-tech or low-tech, did not find any significant academic performance difference in the two classrooms (Edmunds and Little, 2025). Nevertheless, more complex learning materials enjoyed by students were rated as having high entertainment in high-tech environment, which indicates that technological improvement can be effective when the instructional material is more complex.

### ***Section 8: Specialized Pedagogical Applications***

**8.1 Science Education Innovation.** Science education incorporates new teaching techniques, such as practical learning, the use of technology, and student learning, which have been studied systematically. Practical learning improved the level of engagement and problem-solving capacity but was limited in resources (Laid & Adlaon, 2025). The integration of technology enhanced the access and visualization but necessitated teacher training and equal access, whereas student-centred approaches encouraged critical thinking and teamwork.

Educational games that enhance the learning motivation of students in science have delivered positive outcomes. According to research trends, the period between 2021 and 2025 is unstable, and the most popular topics in concrete and contextual research are biology and environmental sciences (Wali et al., 2025). Wordwall, Educandy, QuizWhizzer, Talking Stick Game and RPG were popular because they are flexible, can be accessed easily and facilitates interactivity in learning.

**8.2 Technology-Enhanced Physical Education.** Pedagogy Technology in physical education has increased by a significant margin and is aimed at increasing student engagement, learning outcomes, and long-term compliance to physical activity. Technology use in PE can increase motivation, participation, hand-eye coordination, tactical knowledge, and cognitive education (Martn-Rodrguez & Madrigal-Cerezo, 2025). Nevertheless, problems such as digital disparity, insufficient training of teachers, and ethical implications of collecting student data are major barriers to universal implementation.

**8.3 Pharmaceutical and Technical Education.** The self-directed learning and self-reinforcement assessment plans have been successful in the specialized professional education settings. When SDL/SRA was implemented in pharmaceutical calculations, there was a significant improvement in the grades of students, and the increase was 13-17% relative to the baseline (Le et al., 2025). The percentage of failures decreased significantly (30 to 2-12), which is evidence of the enormous influence of learner-centred methods in professional training.

### ***Section 9: Emerging Technologies and Advanced Applications***

**9.1 Artificial Intelligence and Intelligent Systems.** Smart deep learning systems are changing the quality of assessment of teaching quality in various educational settings. The Intelligent Deep Learning Framework of Physical Education Teaching Quality Assessment had precision rates of 82, recall rates of 81, and F1-Scores rates of 81 (Xiao, 2025). These systems offer objective, data-intensive, and scalable assessments that can be used to measure multifaceted relationships between teaching and student performance.

Engagement assessment in education has effectively been deployed using machine learning techniques



(Maurer and Aleem, 2025). The studies that examine the performance of different machine learning methods on publicly available datasets to measure engagement show that a dataset plays a pivotal role in enhancing the model performance, where CNN models and the selection of the activation functions have better results than other methods.

**9.2 Computer Vision and Real-Time Monitoring.** The application of machine learning and computer vision technology has been effective in tracking the interest of students in classrooms. Visual cues like pose estimation, body language, and activity levels allow the creation of systems that capture and analyse visual data to give a complete evaluation of individual and group student dynamics (Albohamood et al., 2025). These systems capitalize on image processing and machine learning algorithms to make out and classify the visual data and provide meaningful feedback to educators.

**9.3 Adaptive Learning Analytics.** Proactive systems based on clickstream analytics and evaluation results have been shown to be effective in the identification of at-risk students and in the development of individual learning journeys. In the context of the clustering analysis, three different groups of learners were distinguished, namely: low-engagement, moderately active, and highly engaged students with stable submission patterns (Rohman et al., 2025). The algorithms of Random Forest produced credible predictive outcomes, with a 91 percent accuracy, and allowed the differentiation of interventions along the risk profile of students.

**9.4 Virtual Reality and Immersive Environments.** Virtual classroom eye-tracking technology provides potential methods of measuring student engagement based on the eye movement paradigm (Weng and Zhang, 2025). The new approach could be used to measure student engagement and to facilitate improved educational results in online classes. The results indicate that individualized learning strategies have the potential to increase the level of student engagement, motivation and academic achievement via the use of sophisticated technological integration.

## **Section 10: ChatGPT and Generative AI in Education**

**10.1 Research Trends on ChatGPT Adoption.** This has changed the educational landscape with the introduction of generative AI tools like ChatGPT, and research patterns reveal that since the launch of ChatGPT in 2022, trends have risen significantly (Firdaus et al., 2025). The US is the leader with 22.6% of article contributions and China (9.6) comes next with the United Kingdom, Canada, and Italy having a high degree of international collaboration.

The recent trends in the field of research on the use of ChatGPT in science education suggest that Goethe University Frankfurt, National Cheng Kung University, and Technical University of Munich are the most fruitful affiliations (Utami et al., 2025). The research area can be characterized by a high rate of development and a great number of foreign scholars who are interested in learning about the possibilities and the drawbacks of AI in the educational field.

**10.2 Challenges and Concerns: AI Hallucinations.** The fast adoption of generative AI products in the educational field is dangerous, especially due to hallucinations or factually false information produced by AI. According to one study examining the topic of AI hallucinations in education, despite the increasing popularity of using AI and big data to find knowledge, the debate over the content of hallucinations is quite sparse and insignificant (Umri et al., 2025). Co-occurrence analysis indicates that terms as ethics, misinformation, and trust are relatively new to become popular, especially after 2023.

The gaps identified are that there are no empirical assessments of the effect of hallucinations, no detection frameworks, and AI literacy is poorly represented in the curricula (Umri et al., 2025). The paper concludes by calling upon interdisciplinary endeavours to build effective protections, benchmark data, and policy frameworks that will guarantee responsible and knowledgeable AI usage in the learning setting.

## **Section 11: Microlearning and Adaptive Pedagogies**

**11.1 Microlearning Effectiveness.** Microlearning, which is defined by short and concentrated learning units, has become one of the promising strategies to deal with modern educational issues. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the interventions of microlearning in higher education found that there was a significant positive effect on the student retention (pooled OR = 1.87; 95% CI: 1.45-2.41;  $p < 0.001$ ) and learning outcomes (standardized mean difference = 0.74; 95% CI: 0.58-0.90;  $p < 0.001$ ). Subgroup



analyses reflect more on the effectiveness of STEM subjects in combination with mobile technologies.

### **Section 12: Global Research Patterns and Bibliometric Insights**

**12.1 Research Trend Analysis and Mapping.** Extensive bibliometric reviews offer methodological information about the changing research environment. An analysis of 2021-2025 physics education research revealed seven research areas highlighting the frontiers in the use of technology, affective and cognitive concepts, STEM reform, and traditional teaching methods (Nassiri and Bunyamin, 2025). The United States followed with the most significant contribution, then Indonesia, which is evidence of the emerging prominence of Southeast Asian scholarship.

The study of technology literacy indicates that there are five primary clusters of research, including effects and impacts, learning contexts and student engagement, digital adoption and mechanisms, sustainability and management, and emerging technological innovations (Syamsudin et al., 2025). Studies have shown that technology literacy is not only limited to pedagogical practices but also to sustainability, applications of technology in the industry, and advanced technologies.

**12.2 Problem-Based Learning Research Trends.** The bibliometric analysis of the research results of Problem-Based Learning 2016-2025 shows that there was an increase in publications between 2016 and 2020, followed by fluctuations in publications (Fitratunisyah et al., 2025). The most prevalent types of publications are articles, which is why there are strong contributions of empirical research in this area. The ups and downs indicate a long-term interest and persistent difficulties in the successful implementation of PBL strategies.

**12.3 Mathematical Problem-Solving and GeoGebra Integration.** The research tendencies of the mathematical problem-solving abilities of the students indicate the steady improvement due to the implementation of Problem-Based Learning with the help of GeoGebra (Studi et al., 2025). A combination of GeoGebra visualization features and the problem-solving approach of PBL has been shown to be efficient and innovative to enhance the learning results in mathematics in the digital age.

### **Section 13: Assessment and Evaluation Frameworks**

**13.1 Teaching Quality Assessment Systems.** Deep learning architectures have been found to provide performance in terms of evaluation accuracy and objectivity in improving enhanced teaching quality assessment systems. A smart system that evaluated the quality of teaching English classroom reached an assessment accuracy of 99.78, precision of 99.5, and F1-score of 99.1, which is better than the conventional manual and rubric-based assessment. The system is able to extract feature vectors that describe instructional clarity, student engagement, responsiveness, and time management to be automatically classified.

**13.2 Adaptive Assessment in Vocational Education.** Assessment systems in vocational e-learning based on adaptive assessment systems also raise student motivation, engagement, and learning outcomes by providing tailored materials (Hamdan et al., 2025). K-NN and dynamic scaffolding algorithms are effective in the classification of learning styles and in offering personalized feedback. Nevertheless, the main difficulties are the technological constraints, teacher training, and the standardization of evaluation measures.

**13.3 Digital Learning Library Platforms.** Digital platforms have been centralized successfully to improve the accessibility of resources and student outcomes. The performance of students in analysis indicates that students who actively used digital library platforms tended to score higher in assignments and exams (Punnoose et al., 2025). Students noted that they were highly supportive of mobile access and demanded such features as immediate feedback on their assignments, which shows that their expectations regarding digital learning infrastructure are changing.

### **Section 14: Emerging Topics and Future Directions**

**14.1 Simulation-Based Learning.** Learning tools like ERPsim are simulation-based learning tools that offer immersive experiential learning in realistic business settings. Although ERPsim is an efficient tool that helps to situate the concepts of information systems to facilitate the attainment of learning outcomes, it is more of an introductory learning instrument, as high automation rates tend to obscure the complex details [(Chow et al., 2025)]. The use of integration as a supportive tool in the wider pedagogical context is required to maximize the educational influence.

**14.2 Machine Learning for Academic Performance Prediction.** There are machine learning systems that evaluate and determine the correlations between the personality traits of students and their academic



performance (Santhoshkumar et al., 2025). These systems gather information by use of questionnaires and behavioural profile and match personality traits and academic measures such as grades, participation and engagement. Advanced systems development is characterized by multi-modal collection of information and longitudinal tracking, and scalability across institutions.

**14.3 Data-Driven Framework for Engineering Education.** Data-based approaches to engineering education present multidimensional models of engagement assessment based on the cognitive, emotional, behavioural, and social dimensions (Huang et al., 2025). These frameworks can be used to monitor disengagement in real-time and provide early alerts to it by combining digital learning analytics with multimodal data mining. Findings show that there is a great decrease in dropout and switching of majors with specific interventions and adaptive feedback systems.

### **Section 15: Challenges and Research Gaps**

**15.1 Implementation Barriers.** Even though there is a lot of evidence on innovative pedagogical methods, there are still strong barriers to implementation. Most educators have the challenge of designing differentiated lessons and project-based activities because of the different abilities of students, limited resources, and the lack of training. The transition to holistic, formative assessment practices has also been a challenge to those educators who are used to the traditional testing practices.

**15.2 Equity and Access Issues.** The ongoing lack of access and unequal educational technology access and outcomes is an urgent issue. The success of gamification is highly reliant on institutional factors and the availability of resources (Irvani et al., 2025). The issue of facilitating fair access to technology-mediated learning experiences necessitates sustained policy focus and funding.

**15.3 Theoretical and Methodological Gaps.** There are still loopholes in research that need to be filled in the future. There is a need to conduct longitudinal studies of long-term effects, standardized measures of evaluation, and cross-cultural and institutional studies. Also, the interdisciplinary perspective based on the use of new technologies and answering the questions related to sustainability and scalability should be the focus of the research.

### **Conclusion**

There has been significant growth in educational research in the period between 2021 and 2025, with technological progress, experimentation with pedagogy, and the growing importance of student engagement in the centrality of learning outcomes. The major trends are the spread of digital learning tools and platforms, the new focus on individualized and adaptive learning and the increasing use of artificial intelligence and machine learning in educational assessment and support. Problem-Based Learning, formative assessment practices, and student-centred pedagogies have been shown to remain effective in a variety of settings, but issues of implementing them in terms of teacher training, resource limitations, and equity remain.

The study environment also demonstrates significant warning results on the adoption of technology. It is not only a question of technological sophistication but a question of intelligent assimilation into the pedagogical frameworks based on the learning theory, as well as the proper development of the profession and equitable distribution of resources. The development of new issues about AI-created hallucinations and information security indicates the necessity of ethical guidelines and regulatory frameworks that would be used along with the introduction of technology.

In the future, the field should still focus on filling the gaps in research that persistently occur, including longitudinal studies, cross-cultural research, and strict consideration of new technologies. The combination of systemic support, such as teacher professional development, policy alignment, and institutional commitment, with evidence-based practices is vital to the achievement of educational improvement on a large scale. With the ongoing development of technology and changes in the educational settings, the emphasis on equity, evidence-based practice, and student-centred learning will be central to the creation of high-impact educational research and practice.

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank participants for their cooperation and contribution to this research.

### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.



## Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## Data Availability Statement

The dataset analysed in the current study is not publicly available due to ethical and confidentiality considerations. However, it is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## References

- Ajid, S. N., Kusumaningtyas, D., Ratih, K., & Lava, S. (2025). Strategies for Integrating Problem-Based Learning, Teaching Modules, and Formative Assessments to Enhance Learning Outcomes and Critical Thinking Skills. *Indonesian Journal on Learning and Advanced Education (IJOLAE)*, 7(2), 340–357. <https://doi.org/10.23917/ijolae.v7i2.8612>
- Albohamood, A. H., Alqattan, M. S., & Vizcarra, C. P. (2025). Real-time Student Engagement Monitoring in Classroom Environments using Machine Learning and Computer Vision. *2025 4th International Conference on Computing and Information Technology (ICCIT)*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCIT63348.2025.10989406>
- Andayani, A., & Prabowo, S. (2025). HOTS-Based Interactive E-Books and Student Learning Outcomes: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Education and Learning Studies*, 1(3), 45–58. <https://doi.org/10.64421/ijels.v1i3.23>
- Bashir, M., & Naseer, D. N. (2025). From Opportunity to Obstacle: Learners' Experiences on the Use of Campus Management System. *Inverge Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(1), 148–161. <https://doi.org/10.63544/ijss.v4i1.196>
- Bayani, F., Rokhmat, J., Hakim, A., & Sukarso, A. (2025). Research Trends in Analytical Thinking Skills for Science Education: Insights, Pedagogical Approaches, and Future Directions. *International Journal of Ethnoscience and Technology in Education*, 2(1), 52–68. <https://doi.org/10.33394/ijete.v2i1.14142>
- Bensi, L. P., Bensi, M. E., Oliveros, A. G. G., & Torres, R. A. G. (2025). Student Preferences for Hybrid Versus Face-to-Face Learning Approaches: A Comparative Analysis of Engagement, Learning Outcomes, and Technological Challenges. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, 12(3), 881–892. <https://doi.org/10.51244/ijrsi.2025.12030074>
- Bizimana, E. (2025). Student Engagement in Learning: Exploring the Role of Perceived Student Cohesiveness, Equity, Teacher Support, and Teacher Autonomy Support Under the Framework of Self-Determination Theory. *International Journal of Changes in Education*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewijce52024500>
- Cena, J. F., Diez, M. B., Flores, M. R., Noquiao, H. P. P., & Quinco-Cadosales, M. N. (2025). Interactive Self-Learning Modules (ISLMs) in Sustaining Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes: A Meta-Synthesis. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 5(4), 153–160. <https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049x.2025.5.4.4782>
- Chow, W., Xu, B., Bhaumik, A., Peng, S., Guo, M., & Kurnia, S. (2025). Bridging Engagement and Learning Outcomes. *Pacific Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning*, 7(2), 29–30. <https://doi.org/10.24135/pjtel.v7i2.224>
- Copland, S., Hills, M., & McKendrick-Calder, L. (2025). Structured Flexibility in Assessment: Students Perceptions of the Impact of Different Elements of Choice on Their Decision Making, Engagement, and Learning Experiences. *Teaching and Learning Inquiry*, 13, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.20343/teachlearning.13.61>
- Edmunds, T. K., & Little, R. (2025). Impacts of the Active Learning Classroom on Student Learning and Engagement: The Role of Technology. *Canadian Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 16(1), Article 9. <https://doi.org/10.5206/cjsotlrceaca.2025.1.16584>
- Firdaus, T., Mufidah, R., Hamida, R. N., Febrianti, R. I., & Guivara, A. E. R. (2025). Research trends and the impact of ChatGPT on educational environments. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Education Research*, 11(2), 112–128. <https://doi.org/10.24289/ijsser.1609741>
- Fitratusiyah, F., Bactiar, I., & Jamaluddin, J. (2025). Research Trends of Problem Based Learning (PBL)



- Models to Improve Students' Critical Thinking Skills and Learning Outcomes (2016-2025): A Systematic Review. *Current Educational Review*, 1(4), 331–348. <https://doi.org/10.56566/cer.v1i4.410>
- Hamdan, A., Elmunsyah, H., Maula, P. I., Sari, H. V., Abdullah, M. F., & Septianingsih, D. (2025). Systematic Evaluation of Adaptive Assessment in E-Learning: Contribution to Vocational Education. *2025 9th International Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Information Engineering (ICEEIE)*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEEIE66203.2025.11254863>
- Huang, X., Gai, Y., Sun, L., Zhang, Y., Yang, D., Sheng, H., Huang, J.-M., & Li, Y. (2025). Research on Strategies for Enhancing Student Engagement and Retention in Engineering Education under a Technology-Enhanced Learning Environment. *2025 IEEE International Conference on Teaching, Assessment, and Learning for Engineering (TALE)*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TALE66047.2025.11346628>
- Irvani, A. I., Dewi, A. P., Gunawan, A., & Rahmiani, A. (2025). Gamification and Student Engagement: Evidence, Challenges, and Future Directions. *Eduscape: Journal of Education Insight*, 3(3), 175–189. <https://doi.org/10.61978/eduscape.v3i3.937>
- Jainuri, M., Kamid, K., Syaiful, S., & Huda, N. (2025). Microlearning Effectiveness in Higher Education: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Student Retention and Learning Outcomes. *MATHEMA: JURNAL PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA*, 7(2), 476–492. <https://doi.org/10.33365/jm.v7i2.517>
- K, N., Kumar, B. H., Sonar, R., Lamba, A. K., Valiveti, V. S. M., & Kalra, G. (2025). Enhancing Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes in Higher Education through E-Learning and Restricted Boltzmann Machines. *2025 3rd International Conference on Data Science and Information System (ICDSIS)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDSIS65355.2025.11071086>
- Khan, M. (2025). Mentimeter Tool for Enhancing Student Engagement and Active Learning: A Literature Review. *International Journal of Changes in Education*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewijce52023801>
- Kristiyuana, K., Wuriningsih, Fr., Idammatussilmi, I., & Agung, A. (2025). Reforming Pedagogy in Indonesian Primary Schools: A Five-Year Systematic Review of Differentiated Instruction, Project-Based Learning, and Formative Assessment (2020-2025). *Jurnal Ilmiah Profesi Pendidikan*, 10(2), 1556–1569. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jipp.v10i2.3413>
- Laid, S. M. T., & Adlaon, M. S. (2025). A Systematic Review of Innovative Teaching Strategies in Science: Exploring Hands-on Learning, Technology Integration, and Student-Centered Approaches. *Acta Pedagogica Asiana*, 4(2), 91–105. <https://doi.org/10.53623/apga.v4i2.645>
- Le, U. M., Kassem, T., & Tran, T. (2025). Enhancing pharmaceutical calculation proficiency: Exploring self-directed learning and self-reinforcement assessment strategies for student success. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 17(6), Article 102323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2025.102323>
- Li, F., Liu, J., Wu, J., & Wu, Z. (2025). Personalized Learning in Graduate Education: Learning Analytics and Assessment Methods from an IT Industry Perspective. *2025 7th International Conference on Computer Science and Technologies in Education (CSTE)*, 201–206. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CSTE64638.2025.11091918>
- Martin-Rodríguez, A., & Madrigal-Cerezo, R. (2025). Technology-Enhanced Pedagogy in Physical Education: Bridging Engagement, Learning, and Lifelong Activity. *Education Sciences*, 15(4), Article 409. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15040409>
- Maurer, M., & Aleem, S. (2025). Comparative Performance Analysis of Machine Learning Techniques for Engagement Assessment in Education. *2025 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Computer, Data Sciences and Applications (ACDSA)*, 128–133. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACDSA65407.2025.11166367>
- Nassiri, S. H., & Bunyamin, M. A. H. (2025). TRENDS AND RESEARCH FRONTIERS IN PHYSICS EDUCATION: A BIBLIOMETRIC ANALYSIS FROM YEAR 2021-2025. *International Journal of Modern Education*, 7(27), 626–642. <https://doi.org/10.35631/ijmoe.727039>
- Ningsih, A. G. (2025). Exploring the Impact of Adaptive Real-Time Quiz Platforms with Differentiated



- Learning Features on Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes: A Mixed-Methods Approach. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 15(6), 1165–1175. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2025.15.6.2329>
- Ojetunde, S., & Ramnarain, U. (2025). Like objects or like subjects? Effects of student-robot interaction (SRI) and mathematical ability on students learning outcomes. *Smart Learning Environments*, 12(1), Article 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00345-2>
- Punnoose, N. J., Mathew, M. d., & Baxi, V. (2025). Enhancing Student Engagement Through a Curated Digital Learning Library Platform. *2025 World Engineering Education Forum - Global Engineering Deans Council (WEEF-GEDC)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WEEF-GEDC66748.2025.11256211>
- Razilu, Z., Sulfasyah, S., & Nappu, S. (2025). Mapping Global Research Trends in Interactive Learning Media: A Bibliometric Analysis of Scopus Publications (2021-2025). *PPSDP International Journal of Education*, 4(2), 411–424. <https://doi.org/10.59175/pijed.v4i2.743>
- Ricky, A. S., Umamah, F., Amalia, S. N., & Trisnawati, E. (2025). SYSTEMATIC LITERATUR REVIEW (2021-2025): PENGEMBANGAN E-BOOK INTERAKTIF DALAM PEMBELAJARAN IPA DI SEKOLAH DASAR. *EDUTECH: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Berbantuan Teknologi*, 5(3), 464–474. <https://doi.org/10.51878/edutech.v5i3.6887>
- Rohman, A. Z., Hasaini, H. F., Setiawan, R., & Rumagit, R. Y. (2025). Predictive Framework for Adaptive Learning: Integrating Clickstream Analytics and Assessment Outcomes in LMS. *2025 7th International Conference on Cybernetics and Intelligent System (ICORIS)*, 235–240. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICORIS67789.2025.11296012>
- Santhoshkumar, S., Kalaimani, T. M., Chandra, H., Prasad, Parthasarathy, R., & Charaan, R. M. D. (2025). Machine Learning System for Comprehensive Student Assessment and Academic Performance Optimization. *2025 International Conference on Smart & Sustainable Technology (INCSST)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/INCSST64791.2025.11210374>
- Song, J. Y. (2025). A Systematic Literature Review of Teacher Professional Development Based on Responsible AI Principles: Analysis of Domestic and International Research Trends (2020-2025). *The Korea Association of Lifelong Education & Convergence (KALEC)*, 4(2), 37–55. <https://doi.org/10.58207/kalec.2025.4.2.37>
- Stepanyan, K., Hasegawa, K., & Poon, H. (2025). What do I, as a student, think of my own work? Using summative self-assessment in a large technical module. *Journal of Learning Development in Higher Education*, (35). <https://doi.org/10.47408/jldhe.vi35.1374>
- Studi, P., Matematika, P., Undikma, F., Zuhaida, N., Pujiastuti, E., & Zaenuri. (2025). Trends in Students Mathematical Problem-Solving Skills: A Systematic Review and Bibliometric Analysis of GeoGebra-Assisted Problem-Based Learning Research (2021-2025). *Media Pendidikan Matematika*, 13(2), 165–181. <https://doi.org/10.33394/mpm.v13i2.18489>
- Suhaimi, S., Ghani, M. F. A., & Radzi, N. M. (2025). Does Student-centred Leadership Integrate with Collaborative, Distributive, and Instructional Leadership? A Recent Systematic Review (2021-2025). *International Research-Based Education Journal*, 7(2), 238–272. <https://doi.org/10.17977/um043v7i22025p238-272>
- Syamsudin, F. I., Wiyono, K., Patriot, E. A., Ismet, I., Saparini, S., & Kamari, A. (2025). Trends in Technology Literacy Research (2021 to 2025): A Bibliometric Analysis with VOSviewer. *Jurnal Materi Dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 15(2), 156–166. <https://doi.org/10.20961/jmpf.v15i2.109121>
- Umri, N. A., Karnyoto, A., & Pardamean, B. (2025). The Impact of AI-Generated Hallucinations in Educational Settings: Trends, Gaps, and Future Directions. *2025 9th International Conference on Information Technology, Information Systems and Electrical Engineering (ICITISEE)*, 557–562. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICITISEE68184.2025.11355145>
- Utami, A., Rusmana, A. N., & Rahayu, D. (2025). CAPTURING CURRENT RESEARCH TRENDS ON THE UTILIZATION OF CHATGPT IN SCIENCE EDUCATION. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan IPA*, 16(3), 564–581. <https://doi.org/10.26418/jpmipa.v16i3.93046>
- Wali, M., Redhana, I. W., & Tika, I. N. (2025). TRENDS IN EDUCATIONAL GAMES TO IMPROVE



- STUDENTS' LEARNING MOTIVATION IN SCIENCE LEARNING: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 11(11), 39–50. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v11i11.12121>
- Weng, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2025). Assessment of Personalized Learning in Immersive and Intelligent Virtual Classroom on Student Engagement. *arXiv.org*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2501.07883>
- Xiao, F. (2025). Intelligent Deep Learning Framework for University Physical Education Teaching Quality Assessment. *2025 2nd International Conference on Software, Systems and Information Technology (SSITCON)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SSITCON66133.2025.11342279>
- Yunus, M., & Bachtiar, B. (2025). Beyond Access: Reframing Engagement, Assessment, and Feedback in Open and Distance Learning at Universitas Terbuka. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 24(6), 492–511. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.24.6.26>
- Zhang, N. (2025). Enhanced English Classroom Teaching Quality Assessment Using Hybrid Deep Learning Architecture. *2025 Third International Conference on Networks, Multimedia and Information Technology (NMITCON)*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/NMITCON65824.2025.11187842>

